

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/764,659
 01/17/01
 SANSOUCY
 M
 498-221 CON

QM12/0913

SALVATORE J. ABBRUZZESE, ESQ. HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP & 700 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET NY 11791

EXAMINER
THISSELL, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
3763

DATE MAILED: 09/13/01

9-13-01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

• .%				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/764,659	Sansoucy, Michael R.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeremy T. Thissell	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	anuary 2001 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-36</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-36</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said closed position" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Also, in line 7, the word "conduit" should be "conduits".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27, 28, 31, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jorgensen (WO 94 02195) in view of Campbell et al (US 4,036,210).

Jorgensen teaches all the claimed subject matter including a spring-loaded (14) pressure release valve piston (16), but does not teach dual lumens governed by the same transverse valve.

Campbell teaches a dual lumen device wherein the lumens are opened and

Application/Control Number: 09/764,659

Art Unit: 3763

closed with the same transverse slide valve. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Jorgensen with dual lumens as taught by Campbell since duplication of the essential working elements of a device is routine in the art.

Further, Jorgensen does not explicitly teach the valve assembly being releasably connectable to a catheter extension. Jorgensen teaches a slightly different embodiment in figure 2a that shows a releasable connection. Since it would require only routine skill in the art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the device of figure 1a with the releasable catheter structure of figure 2a in order to allow the connection of differently sized catheters onto to the valve assembly.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 29, 30, 32, and 33 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable subject matter includes the valve piston being magnetically biased, and the piston being narrower in the middle than at each end such that one end covers the opening in the closed position.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,659

Art Unit: 3763

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to Jeremy T. Thissell whose telephone number is (703)

305-5261. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-7:00 Monday through

Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard K. Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-5115. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-3590 for regular communications and (703) 306-4520 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

September 9, 2001

RICHARD K. SEIDEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700